

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

---

## A sharp exceptional set estimate for visibility

Orponen, Tuomas

2018-02

---

Orponen , T 2018 , ' A sharp exceptional set estimate for visibility ' , Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society , vol. 50 , no. 1 , pp. 1-6 . <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12103>

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/232900>

<https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12103>

---

other

acceptedVersion

---

*Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.*

*This is an electronic reprint of the original article.*

*This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.*

*Please cite the original version.*

# A SHARP EXCEPTIONAL SET ESTIMATE FOR VISIBILITY

TUOMAS ORPONEN

**ABSTRACT.** A Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is *visible* from  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if the radial projection of  $B$  with base point  $x$  has positive  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  measure. I prove that if  $\dim B > n - 1$ , then  $B$  is visible from every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ , where  $E$  is an exceptional set with dimension  $\dim E \leq 2(n - 1) - \dim B$ . This is the sharp bound for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Many parts of the proof were already contained in a recent previous paper by P. Mattila and the author, where a weaker bound for  $\dim E$  was derived as a corollary from a certain slicing theorem. Here, no improvement to the slicing result is obtained; in brief, the main observation of the present paper is that the proof method gives the optimal result, when applied directly to the visibility problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\pi_x: \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  be the radial projection

$$\pi_x(y) := \frac{y - x}{|y - x|}.$$

The following theorem is the main result of the paper:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Borel set with  $\dim B > n - 1$ . Then, there exists a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\dim E \leq 2(n - 1) - \dim B$  such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E.$$

*This is the sharp bound for every  $n \geq 2$ .*

This settles a conjecture by P. Mattila and the author in [8], where it was proven that  $\dim E \leq n - 1$  as soon as  $\dim B > n - 1$ . The same conjecture had earlier appeared in Mattila's survey paper [6], see (6.1) on p. 36.<sup>1</sup> Finally, the proof in the present paper also fills a small gap in the argument in [8], see the footnote on page 3.

**Remark 1.2.** The strict inequality  $\dim B > n - 1$  is necessary. In fact, if  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is purely  $(n - 1)$ -unrectifiable with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B) < \infty$ , then it follows easily from the Besicovitch-Federer projection theorem that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . A more precise result is due to Marstrand [4], Theorem VI: if  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is purely 1-unrectifiable with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(B) < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_x(B)) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ , where  $\dim E \leq 1$ . It

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A75.

*Key words and phrases.* Hausdorff dimension, radial projections, visibility, exceptional sets.

TO is supported by the Academy of Finland through the grant *Restricted families of projections and connections to Kakeya type problems*.

<sup>1</sup>In fact, (6.1) in [6] states the planar version of Theorem 1.1 not as a conjecture, but a *fact*, which should follow from Peres and Schlag's work [10]. However, Theorem 7.3 in [10] gives the bound  $3 - \dim B$  instead of  $2 - \dim B$  in the plane.

is entertaining to note that when  $\dim B > 1$ , the same is true with " $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_x(B)) = 0$ " replaced by " $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_x(B)) > 0$ ".

Marstrand's result can be further improved for self-similar sets: Simon and Solomyak [11] have shown that if  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a purely 1-unrectifiable self-similar set in the plane with  $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(B) < \infty$ , and satisfying the open set condition, then  $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_x(B)) = 0$  for every base point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . There is also a recent, more quantitative, version of this result by Bond, Laba and Zahl [3].

**Notation 1.3.** The Grassmannian manifold of all  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $G(n, n - 1)$ , and the Haar probability measure on  $G(n, n - 1)$  is denoted by  $\gamma_{n, n-1}$ . Given a plane  $V \in G(n, n - 1)$ , the mapping  $\pi_V: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $V$ . If  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , its push-forward under  $\pi_V$  is denoted by  $\pi_{V\#}\mu$ , so that

$$\pi_{V\#}\mu(B) = \mu(\pi_V^{-1}(B)), \quad B \subset V.$$

For  $a, b > 0$ , we write  $a \lesssim b$ , if there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that  $a \leq Cb$ ; the constant  $C$  may, without special mention, depend on various "fixed" parameters in the proof, such as the dimension of the ambient space, or that of  $B$  in Theorem 1.1.

For  $0 \leq s \leq n$ , the  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}^s$ . The notation  $\dim$  stands for Hausdorff dimension. Finally, if  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 \leq s \leq n$ , the  $s$ -energy of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $I_s(\mu)$ , so that by definition

$$I_s(\mu) = \iint \frac{d\mu x d\mu y}{|x - y|^s}.$$

It is well-known that, see Theorem 3.10 in [7], that

$$(1.1) \quad I_s(\mu) = c(n, s) \int |\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{s-n} d\xi, \quad 0 < s < n.$$

## 2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper would not exist without the previous joint work of Pertti Mattila and myself in [8], and I am grateful for his collaboration and insights. In addition, I thank Pertti for reading the current manuscript, and giving plenty of good comments.

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The first part of this section contains the proof of the bound  $\dim E \leq 2(n - 1) - \dim B$ . The second, far shorter, part discusses the question of sharpness.

**3.1. Proof of the upper bound for  $\dim E$ .** It suffices to prove the theorem for compact sets  $B$ , because if  $\dim\{x : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) = 0\} > 2(n - 1) - \dim B$  for some Borel set  $B$ , then also  $\dim\{x : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(K)) = 0\} > 2(n - 1) - \dim K$  for some compact set  $K \subset B$  with  $n - 1 < \dim K \leq \dim B$ . So assume that  $B$  is compact. Then, the set  $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) = 0\}$  is Borel, and we make the counter assumption that

$$2(n - 1) - s < \dim E < n - 1$$

for some  $n - 1 < s < \dim B$  (such an  $s$  can be found if  $\dim E > 2(n - 1) - \dim B$ ). We may further assume that  $E$  and  $B$  are disjoint; if this is not true to begin with, choose two

disjoint closed balls  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that  $\dim[B \cap B_1] > s$ , and  $2(n-1) - \dim[B \cap B_1] < \dim[E \cap B_2]$ . Finally, fix  $t$  strictly between  $2(n-1) - s$  and  $\dim E$ , and find compactly supported Borel probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  inside  $B$  and  $E$ , respectively, such that  $I_s(\mu) < \infty$ , and  $I_t(\nu) < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(\text{spt } \mu)) = 0$  for every  $x \in \text{spt } \nu$ ; to simplify notation, we assume that  $B = \text{spt } \mu$  and  $E = \text{spt } \nu$ .

We briefly discuss the meaning of the assumption  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) = 0$  for  $x \in E$ . If  $L_{V,x}$  is the line perpendicular to  $V \in G(n, n-1)$  and passing through  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , another way to write  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_x(B)) = 0$ ,  $x \in E$ , is the following:

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_{n,n-1}(\{V : L_{V,x} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}) = 0, \quad x \in E.$$

This is where we needed to know that  $B$  and  $E$  are disjoint. Using Fubini's theorem, (3.1) implies that

$$(3.2) \quad \nu(\{x : L_{V,x} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}) = 0$$

for  $\gamma_{n,n-1}$  almost every  $V \in G(n, n-1)$ .

For  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\psi_\delta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a radial compactly supported approximate identity (thus  $\psi_\delta = \delta^{-n}\psi(x/\delta)$ , where  $\psi$  is non-negative, radial, supported on  $B(0, 1)$  and has integral one). Let  $\mu_\delta := \mu * \psi_\delta$ , and consider the function

$$V \mapsto f_\delta(V) := \int_V \pi_{V\#} \mu_\delta d\pi_{V\#} \nu, \quad V \in G(n, n-1).$$

We will need to know that

- (i)  $\|f_\delta\|_{L^1(G(n,n-1))} \geq c$  for some constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , and
- (ii) there exists  $p > 1$  (depending on  $n, s$  and  $t$  only) such that  $\|f_\delta\|_{L^p(G(n,n-1))} \leq C$ , where  $C < \infty$  is independent of  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .<sup>2</sup>

In fact, (i) is precisely (3.4) in [8], so we skip the details: in brief, applying the Parseval formula and integrating in polar coordinates, one can show that  $\|f_\delta\|_{L^1}$  equals a constant times  $\iint |x-y|^{-(n-1)} d\mu_\delta x d\nu x$ , which is uniformly bounded from below for  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

We then prove (ii). Write  $s' := 2(n-1) - t < s$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a measure on  $G(n, n-1)$  satisfying the growth condition  $\sigma(B(x, r)) \lesssim r^h$  for some

$$\max\{t, n-1+s'-s\} < h < n-1.$$

Write  $\mu_V^\delta := \pi_{V\#} \mu_\delta$ , and  $\nu_V := \pi_{V\#} \nu$ . Under the previous restrictions, it is known (see discussion below) that

$$(3.3) \quad \int \int_V |x|^{t-(n-1)} |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V = c(n, t) \int I_t(\nu_V) d\sigma V \lesssim I_t(\nu) < \infty$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \int \int_V |x|^{s'-(n-1)} |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V \lesssim 1 + I_s(\mu_\delta) \lesssim 1 + I_s(\mu) < \infty.$$

The bound (3.3) in the plane is due to Kaufman [2], and the higher dimensional analogue we need can be found in a paper by Mattila, see Lemma 5.1 in [5]. As such, the bound (3.4) is most likely due to Peres and Schlag [10], but it is certainly inspired by earlier work of Falconer [1]; a proof can also be found on p. 81 in the book [7].

<sup>2</sup>This  $L^p$ -estimate was missing from the paper [8].

Armed with Parseval, (3.3), (3.4) and Cauchy-Schwarz, we make the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_V \mu_V^\delta d\nu_V d\sigma V &\leq \int \int_V |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)| |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V \\
&= \int \int_V |x|^{(s'-(n-1))/2} |x|^{(t-(n-1))/2} |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)| |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V \\
(3.5) \quad &\leq \int \left( \int_V |x|^{t-(n-1)} |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_V |x|^{s'-(n-1)} |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right)^{1/2} d\sigma V \\
&\leq \left( \int \int_V |x|^{t-(n-1)} |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int \int_V |x|^{s'-(n-1)} |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) d\sigma V \right)^{1/2} \lesssim I_t(\nu)^{1/2} (1 + I_s(\mu))^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, to get the  $L^p$ -result we desired, we observe that functions  $g \in L^q(G(n, n-1))$  with  $\|g\|_{L^q} = 1$  (where  $q$  is dual to  $p$ ) satisfy the kind of "power bound" as was required of  $\sigma$ . Namely,

$$\int_{B(V,r)} g d\gamma_{n,n-1} \leq \gamma_{n,n-1}(B(V,r))^{1/p} \left( \int |g|^q d\gamma_{n,n-1} \right)^{1/q} \lesssim r^{(n-1)/p}.$$

So, if  $p > 1$  is so close to one that  $(n-1)/p \geq h$ , the estimate (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f_\delta \cdot g d\gamma_{n,n-1} &\leq \int \left( \int_V |\widehat{\mu_V^\delta}(x)| |\widehat{\nu_V}(x)| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right) g(V) d\gamma_{n,n-1}(V) \\
&\lesssim I_t(\nu)^{1/2} (1 + I_s(\mu))^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the usual  $L^p - L^q$  duality, this proves (ii).

We record two further standard facts: for  $\gamma_{n,n-1}$  almost every  $V \in G(n, n-1)$ ,

- (iii) the measure  $\pi_{V\#}\mu$  lies in the fractional Sobolev space  $H^{(s-(n-1))/2}(V)$ , and
- (iv) the measure  $\pi_{V\#}\nu$  has finite  $t$ -energy.

Fact (iv) follows immediately from (3.3) with  $\sigma = \gamma_{n,n-1}$ . Fact (iii) does not quite follow from (3.4) as stated above (because we assumed  $s' < s$ ), but it does follow from the variant of (3.4), where  $s' = s$  and  $\sigma = \gamma_{n,n-1}$ ; this remains true, as can be proven easily via "integration in polar coordinates", see for instance (24.2) in [7]. This gives fact (iii).

Assume that  $V \in G(n, n-1)$  is a plane such that (iii) and (iv) hold. Then, as observed already in [8] (or see Theorem 17.3 in the book [7]), the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of  $\pi_{V\#}\mu$  belongs to  $L^1(\pi_{V\#}\nu)$ , which implies that the functions  $\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta$  converge to a limit in  $L^1(\pi_{V\#}\nu)$  both in  $L^1(\pi_{V\#}\nu)$ , and  $\pi_{V\#}\nu$  almost everywhere (to see this, observe that  $\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta = \psi_\delta^V * \pi_{V\#}\mu$  for some approximate identity  $\psi_\delta^V$  on  $V$ , because  $\psi$  was chosen radial). We denote the limit by  $g_V \in L^1(\pi_{V\#}\nu)$ , so that

$$[\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta](v) \rightarrow g_V(v) \text{ for } \pi_{V\#}\nu \text{ almost every } v \in V.$$

Recalling from (ii) that the sequence  $(f_\delta)_{\delta>0}$  is bounded in  $L^p(G(n, n-1))$  for some  $p > 1$ , we may pick a subsequence  $(f_{\delta_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which converges weakly in  $L^p(G(n, n-1))$

to a limit  $f \in L^p(G(n, n-1))$ . The values of  $f$  are known to us: since  $\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta \rightarrow g_V$  in  $L^1(\pi_{V\#}\nu)$ , whenever (iii) and (iv) hold (that is, for  $\gamma_{n,n-1}$  almost every  $V$ ), the whole sequence  $(f_\delta)_{\delta>0}$  converges pointwise  $\gamma_{n,n-1}$  almost everywhere, and we may infer that

$$f(V) = \int_V g_V d\pi_{V\#}\nu \text{ for } \gamma_{n,n-1} \text{ almost every } V \in G(n, n-1).$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is the weak  $L^p$ -limit of the functions  $f_{\delta_j}$ , each of which satisfies the uniform  $L^1$  lower bound from (i), we have

$$\|f\|_{L^1(G(n,n-1))} \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{\delta_j}\|_{L^1(G(n,n-1))} \geq c > 0.$$

This estimate is legitimate, because  $G(n, n-1)$  is compact. It follows that  $f(V) > 0$  for  $\gamma_{n,n-1}$  positively many planes  $V \in G(n, n-1)$ .

Using this fact, we find a plane  $V \in G(n, n-1)$  with the following four properties: (3.2), (iii) and (iv) hold, and

$$(3.6) \quad \int g_V d\pi_{V\#}\nu > 0.$$

The proof is finished by showing that the four conditions cannot, in fact, hold simultaneously. To this end, write

$$G_V := \{v \in V : [\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta](v) \rightarrow g_V(v)\},$$

so that  $\pi_{V\#}\nu(V \setminus G_V) = 0$ , as we discussed after (iii) and (iv). Then, decompose the integral in (3.6) as follows:

$$\int g_V d\pi_{V\#}\nu = \int_{\{v \in G_V : \pi_V^{-1}\{v\} \cap B = \emptyset\}} \dots + \int_{\{v \in G_V : \pi_V^{-1}\{v\} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}} \dots + \int_{V \setminus G_V} \dots$$

The third integral is clearly zero, and the same is true for the second integral by (3.2):

$$\pi_{V\#}\nu(\{v : \pi_V^{-1}\{v\} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}) = \nu(\{x : L_{V,x} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}) = 0$$

But also the first integral is zero: indeed, if  $v \in V$  and  $\pi_V^{-1}\{v\} \cap B = \emptyset$ , then the compactness of  $B$  implies that  $\pi_V^{-1}(B(v, \delta)) \cap B = \emptyset$  for  $\delta > 0$  small enough. If moreover  $v \in G_V$ , this implies that

$$g_V(v) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [\pi_{V\#}\mu_\delta](v) = 0.$$

In other words,  $g_V(v) = 0$  for every  $v \in \{G_V : \pi_V^{-1}\{v\} \cap B = \emptyset\}$ . We have now seen that (3.6) cannot hold, and the ensuing contradiction completes the proof.

**3.2. Sharpness of the bound.** Given  $n-1 < s < n$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\dim K = s$ , and

$$(3.7) \quad \dim\{V \in G(n, n-1) : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\pi_V(K)) = 0\} = 2(n-1) - s.$$

The example is due to Peltomäki [9], but the details can also be found in [7], Example 5.13.

Consider the projective transformation  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by

$$F(\tilde{x}, x_n) := \frac{(\tilde{x}, 1)}{x_n}, \quad (\tilde{x}, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then  $F$  maps lines in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\{te + (a, 0) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $e = (\tilde{e}, e_n) \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $e_n \neq 0$ , to lines of the form  $\{u(a, 1) + (\tilde{e}/e_n, 0) : u \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . In particular, fixing the "base point"  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , the mapping  $F$  takes the lines passing through  $(a, 0)$  to lines parallel to the vector  $(a, 1)$ . Now, let  $G := F^{-1}$ , and consider the set  $G(K) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , which clearly still has  $\dim G(K) = s$ . The equation (3.7) can be (essentially) reworded by saying that there exists a  $2(n-1) - s$  dimensional family  $E$  of vectors of the form  $(a, 1)$  such that  $K$  lies on an  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -null set of lines parallel to each  $(a, 1) \in E$ . Hence, there exists a  $2(n-1) - s$  dimensional family  $E'$  of points  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $G(K)$  lies on an  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -null set of lines passing through  $(a, 0)$ . In other words,  $\pi_{(a,0)}(G(K)) = 0$  for every  $a \in E'$ , as desired.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K.J. Falconer. Hausdorff dimension and the exceptional set of projections, *Mathematika* **29** (1982), 109–115.
- [2] R. Kaufman. On Hausdorff dimension of projections, *Mathematika* **15** (1968), 153–155.
- [3] M. Bond, I. Łaba and J. Zahl. Quantitative visibility estimates for unrectifiable sets in the plane, appeared electronically in *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* (2015)
- [4] J.M. Marstrand. Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimensions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **4** (1954), 257–302
- [5] P. Mattila. Hausdorff dimension, orthogonal projections and intersections with planes, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A Math.* **1** (1975), 227–244.
- [6] P. Mattila. Hausdorff dimension, projections, and the Fourier transform, *Publ. Mat.* **48** (2004), 3–48
- [7] P. Mattila. Fourier Analysis and Hausdorff Dimension, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [8] P. Mattila and T. Orponen. Hausdorff dimension, intersections of projections and exceptional plane sections, appeared electronically in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2015), available at arXiv:1509.05724
- [9] A. Peltomäki. Projektiot ja Hausdorffin dimensio, Licentiate thesis, Helsingin yliopisto (1988)
- [10] Y. Peres and W. Schlag. Smoothness of projections, Bernoulli convolutions, and the dimension of exceptions, *Duke Math. J.* **102** (2000), 193–251.
- [11] K. Simon and B. Solomyak. Visibility for self-similar sets of dimension one in the plane, *Real Anal. Exchange* **32**(1) (2006/2007), 67–78